

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/127,167	07/29/1998	STEPHEN A. BURDEAU	TN112	9794
75	90 08/13/2003			
STEVEN B SAMULES UNISYS CORPORATION TOWNSHIP LINE & UNION MEETING ROADS			EXAMINER	
			ZHEN, LI B	
BLUE BELL, P	PA 19424		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2126	12
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(a)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Commons	09/127,167	BURDEAU, STEPHE	EN A.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Li B. Zhen	2126			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	oears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence addr	9SS		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, m y within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> .	June 2003 .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	٦.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		, ,			
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.			
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
12) 📙 `	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		•		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	n Application No			
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	n)).	age .		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		anliantian)		
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro			piicaliuii).		
15) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •				
Attachment						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1			
S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 13			

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,933,601 to Fanshier in view of "Top End on Windows NT The Continuing Evolution of Open Systems" (hereinafter NCR).

As to claim 1, Fanshier teaches (column 2, lines 26 – 36; column 2 line 65 – column 3, line 17; column 4, lines 39 – 52; column 15, lines 5 – 15) a clustered computing environment (distributed computing environment 10, known as a TOP END system, Fig. 1) comprising a plurality of nodes (TOP END system 10 is comprised of one or more nodes 12, Fig. 1), enabling a distributed network application (application components 20 are used to create and grow distributed TOP END systems, Fig. 1), a master node (ADMIN process 40 on the appropriate node 12 in the TOP END system 10; column 4, lines 52 – 61; it is noted that the master node is where the ADMIN process 40 resides), receiving an administrative request from the clustered computing environment at an originating node (SM API 34... communicates administrative requests and responses between nodes 12 and components 20, Fig. 2), determining whether the originating node is a designated master node for the distributed network application (TPSM utility 32 may be executed in either a local or a remote mode of operation. In the

Art Unit: 2126

local mode, the TPSM utility 32 makes requests directly to an ADMIN process 40; column 4, lines 45 – 51), and routing the administrative request from the originating node to the designated master node (in the remote mode, TPSM utility 32 makes requests to a communications or transport process 42, which forwards the requests to an ADMIN process 40 on the appropriate node 12 in the TOP END system 10; column 4, lines 52 – 63). The originating nodes is the designated master node when the TPSM utility is running in local mode because the TPSM utility resides on the same node as the ADMIN process 40. The administrative request is routed from the originating node to the designated master node when the TPSM utility is running in remote mode and the administrative request is forwarded to the ADMIN process on the appropriate node. Therefore, determining whether the TPSM utility is running in local or remote node is equivalent to the step of determining whether the originating node is the designated master. Although Fanshier clearly suggests centralized administration by forwarding the request to a node where the ADMIN process resides, Fanshier does not specify centralized administration and distributed application administration.

However, NCR teaches a TOP END system that supports centralized administration (Administration: Global Administration Node Capability, p. 5) and distributed application administration (Middleware Engine: X/Open XA-compliant Distributed Transaction Manager, p. 4 – 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention, to apply the teaching of centralized application administration as taught by

Art Unit: 2126

NCR to the invention of Fanshier because this allows one node to control the distributed application and simply application state management and synchronization.

As to claim 5, this is an apparatus claim that corresponds to method claim 1; note the rejection of claim 1 above, which also meets this apparatus claim.

As to claim 8, see claim 1.

As to claim 9, Fanshier teaches (column 15, lines 9-15) determining if the originating node is the designated master node and capable of handling the request (Block 122 represents the ADMIN process 40 translating the command information into the appropriate message, locating the targeted systems 10, nodes 12, and/or components 20, Fig. 6).

3. Claims 2 – 4, 6, 7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fanshier and NCR in view of Bendert U.S. Patent No. 6,275,867.

As to claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 10, and 11, Fanshier (column 4, lines 39 – 45) the originating node sending a request to the master node and receiving a reply from the master node (communicating administrative requests and responses between nodes 12 and components 20 in TOP END systems 10, Fig. 1). Fanshier does not specify communications between the two nodes via a named pipe.

However, Bendert (column 2, lines 15-30) teaches facilitating communication in a distributed processing system through the use of named pipes.

It would have been obvious to apply the use of named pipes to facilitate communications between two nodes as taught by Bendert to the invention of Fanshier

Art Unit: 2126

because named pipes can support more flexible communication and greater distance between nodes (column 2, lines 25 – 30 of Bendert).

As to claim 4, Fanshier teaches (column 3, lines 65 – 67) calling an administrative application programming interface (SM API) to initiate processing of the request (SM API 34 provides the functions necessary for the desired systems administration, Fig. 2).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3406. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8am - 4:30pm.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Li B. Zhen Examiner Art Unit 2126

July 31, 2003

JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100